

THE FORTHCOMING FULL-PRETERIST PROPHET

A prediction for the future, an examination of various Full–Preterist departures from Scripture.

By Donald Perry

Two Choices; What happens after the audience relevance argument.

Full Preterists, and those who hold to a literal 70 AD coming have two choices in how they interpret and apply eschatology. The first is to be inconsistent and the second is to be consistent.

Inconsistent Preterism by default must gravitate toward Consistentism. This is because inconsistent Preterism is hypocritical and can not make an argument to remain inconsistent. This can be easily seen in the Full Preterist arguments.

To be inconsistent and partial and at the same time admit Christ came in full, this is inconsistent. The very definition of the term partial preterist implies inconsistency. (Full Preterists are also holding to a partial view when they are inconsistent.)

To be consistent, preterists do not intend to have a hypocritical eschatology, they admit Christ came and set out to deny none of its implications. The only problem is that the world and body they live in demonstrate them to be hypocrites, where they say they have arrived but neither their person nor their world demonstrate it.

Although not Preterist, the only logical answer to remain within a Biblical framework is to say that a literal second coming never happened in the first place, an interpretation that provides that nothing has changed regarding the “this generation” perspective. Not that it was partial, but that it was what can be defined as ideological in an “already but not yet” definition.

The impossible interpretation of post AD 70 salvation:

According to the Scriptures, in the strictest sense they prohibit the salvation of those who had rejected the Gospel and met Christ at the judgment at the Second Coming (John 3:18, Rev. 20). The Gospel had been preached in all the world before the end, thereafter none could be saved; Matt. 24:14, 37-30, 1 Peter 3:9. This is in fact a working answer, there are in fact Full-Preterists who believe this to be the case that I have debated.

The impossible interpretation that the second coming can be equated with the deathbed:

If the Second Coming was a one-time event in AD 70, can we now equate those results with our death? No, because by default to say Christ has fully come puts one outside of the resurrection, which happens for all the dead first before the living in AD 70. The resurrection for the dead does not happen after the resurrection of the living, see 1 Thess. 4:16,17 *“For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: ¹⁷Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: ...”* All are already in heaven or hell and the judgment is final and for all the world, all flesh, and all the devils. In order to be resurrected or see what equates to the second coming one’s death must happen within the pre-AD 70 world according to the Scriptures.

The impossible interpretation that the post AD 70 age can participate in sanctification:

1 John 2:28 says *“And now, little children, abide in him; that, when he shall appear, we may have confidence, and not be ashamed before him at his coming.”*

Revelation says 2:21-22 *“And I gave her space to repent of her fornication; and she repented not. 22 Behold, I will cast her into a bed, and them that commit adultery with her into great tribulation, except they repent of their deeds.”*

No one can be Biblically saved without sanctification. In the New Testament sanctification is defined as a process that has to do with a preterition to meet Christ at His Second Coming. Being a Left Behind Full Preterist means that when you read the above Scriptures your realizing fulfilled prophecy written to the generation that would not be left behind. For sanctification to exist today it should have a reason to do so, but if Christ has already come in your history of things then there is no need for it because the reason for it has already arrived.

But what was to have changed in the world and left behind after the Second Coming of AD 70 (1 Cor. 15:51)? Scripturally speaking nothing would be the same.

The interpretation of the post AD 70 age, the said scenario for salvation 71 AD:

According to Left Behind Full Preterists there are left behind converts. Some would realize they were left behind having heard the Gospel in times past, and having had to have rejected it, they then at that most inconvenient time convert to a Pre- AD 70 mindset. Which argument makes no sense because they should have adapted a post AD 70 mindset and eschatology now being set for by Ed Stevens and other Full Preterists if this were true. But rather, immediately after AD 70 we see believers occupy the vacuum of what was the Church and remember their contemporaries with no mention of a rapture either literally, nor in the effects of what was the then eschatology up to AD 70.

Here men are saved with no Apostolic authority, no Scriptures in hand, no Presbytery guidelines, no Angelic Visitation, no successive inheritance that had been passed down from saint to saint. These post AD 70 saints in effect will reinvent Christianity to provide a Gospel that will save themselves! And having done so for reasons unknown they interpret the Scriptures to intend that there was no rapture, that they were not left behind.

In this new dispensation of Left Behind Full Preterism God has been silent now for 2000 years just as He was in the days of the Maccabees. I predict that it will not be too long before there appears a Pentecostal Full Preterist Prophet on the scene who will provide a Full Preterist cult with a new Bible for this great and wonderful new dispensation. He or she will say that it was not done before this time because the people of God were not ready for it. But now at this time God is ready to unveil his kingdom to his enlightened believers.

Will Preterists someday create their own Scriptures?:

The Bible is not written post AD 70. But someday Full Preterist should see the need to re-write the Bible. What would be the objections?

What about Rev. 22:18,19 *"If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: ¹⁹And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book."* Full Preterist have already said in many times and in many ways that Revelation is no longer a book of prophecy, and such Scriptures do not apply in any way today as they did before in the past. I can imagine in the not too distant future that Left Behind Full Preterists will start rewriting the Scriptures. They regularly change the interpretations of the Scriptures and in effect the Scriptures themselves from Pre-AD 70 to Post-AD 70 already.

Below are examples of what a preterist bible will look like:

1. 2 Tim. 2:18 "who concerning the truth will have erred, saying that the resurrection is future; and overthrow the faith of some"
2. Matt. 21:40 "Therefore, when the people realize that the owner of the vineyard came, what work will those vinedressers do?"
3. Matt. 24:14 "And this gospel of the kingdom was preached in all the world as a witness to all the nations, and then the end came."
4. 1 Cor. 6:2 "Do ye not know that the saints judged the world, where as now it is that all Judgment has Ended?"
5. 1 Cor. 15:24, 25 "Then came the end, when he delivered up the kingdom to God, even the Father; when he put down all rule and all authority and power. For he reigned till he put all enemies under his feet. The last enemy that was destroyed was death."